WO

DATE: July 5, 2013

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Saul Santana-Herrera	Case Number:	<u>13-01858M-001</u>	
and was re	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § presented by counsel. I conclude by a prependant pending trial in this case.	onderance of the evidence t	was held on July 5, 2013. Defendant was present he defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a r	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
×	·	United States or lawfully ad	mitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the ch	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant Enforcement, placing him/her beyon or otherwise removed.	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant co	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico	).		
	The defendant is an amnesty appli substantial family ties to Mexico.	cant but has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
	There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade I	aw enforcement contact by t	leeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of	years imprisonment.	
Th at the time	e Court incorporates by reference the mat of the hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial S oted in the record.	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Court	
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1.	There is a serious risk that the defer	ndant will flee.		
2.	No condition or combination of cond	litions will reasonably assure	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECT	IONS REGARDING DETEN	TION	
a correction appeal. The of the United	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable	e, from persons awaiting or s e opportunity for private cons ne Government, the person i	wher designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY REL	EASE	
			h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services si			dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge